[30th January 1936

(*d*) It has always been understood that temporary subordinates in the Settlement parties and in the Survey department are liable to be discharged when they become surplus to requirements. They do not necessarily become surplus to requirements on the termination of work in a particular district; nor does it follow that when they are discharged they should be debarred from reappointment in any other department or office.

G.O. No. 773, *Revenue, dated 2nd May* 1933, *regarding contribution by ryots for repairs and improvements to irrigation works.*

* 621 *Q.*—Mr. M. B. Rangaswami Reddi: Will the Hon. the **Member** for Revenue be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government will be pleased to lay on the table of **the House** a copy of G.O. No. 773, Revenue, dated 2nd May 1933;

(*b*) whether the Government will be pleased to lay on the table a **statement** showing the amount demanded and collected in each district, **after** the passing of the above Government Order from the ayacut ryots **as** contribution for repairs or improvements to irrigation works up to **date**; and

(*c*) how many proposals were dropped because of inability on the **part** of the ryots to pay contribution—districtwar figures may be **furnished?**

A.—(*a*) An extract of paragraph III (iii) of the Government Order and of paragraph 73 of Mr. Leach's report referred to therein, is attached.[a]

(*b*) & (*c*) The Government have no information.

Mr. G. Rameswara Rao:—" Sir, in view of the exuberant sympathy expressed in favour of rural uplift and reconstruction, may I request the Hon. Member to acquaint himself with the state of affairs of the ryot and call for information with regard to (*b*) and (*c*) and give the benefit of the information to the Council also? "

The Hon. Sir Charles Souter:—" I think it will take far too much time and trouble."

Mr. K. Koti Reddi:—" May I know if the Government is prepared to consider the inadvisability of demanding any amount for a work which the Government ought to undertake themselves? "

The Hon. Sir Charles Souter:—" I think the hon. Member will find the answer in the report given in Appendix VI."

Mr. K. Koti Reddi:—" After having read that report I am putting this question. On the question of repair and construction of irrigation works the responsibility is on the Government. Instead of asking for a contribution will the Government do it themselves? "

The Hon. Sir Charles Souter:—" Mr. Leach's report will answer that question."

---

[a] Printed as Appendix VI on pages 228-229 infra.

Mr. G. Rameswara Rao :—" Will the Government acquaint itself with the condition of the ryot and do something for him? "

The Hon. Sir Charles Souter :—" I assure the hon. Member that Government do a great deal for the ryot."

Mr. V. M. Ramaswami Mudaliyar :—" May I know why these unfortunate districts of North Arcot and Chingleput should suffer from this levy? "

The Hon. Sir Charles Souter :—" I believe it is a matter of long-standing practice in the districts."

Public Service

Employment of candidates selected by the Madras Services Commission for the Madras Ministerial Service in the mufassal.

* 622 *Q.*—Mr. U. C. Subrahmanya Bhatt : Will the Hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(*a*) what the total number is of candidates hitherto selected by the Madras Services Commission for appointment in the Madras Ministerial Service in the various mufassal districts;

(*b*) what the number is for each district and for each year;

(*c*) of these, how many are employed in permanent and long-standing vacancies and how many years the Government expect to take to absorb the remaining; and

(*d*) whether the Government are aware that in districts like Malabar and South Kanara even candidates selected in 1931 and 1932 are still awaiting appointments?

A.—(*a*) & (*b*) A statement [a] is laid on the table.

(*c*) Of 2,327 candidates selected for posts of clerk and 261 selected for posts of typist, 607 and 52, respectively, became ineligible for appointment on account of age or failed to join appointments offered, etc., and 1,704 and 209 candidates have been appointed as clerks and typists, respectively. It will thus be seen that only 16 candidates selected for posts of clerk have yet to be appointed and all these men were selected in 1934. Government have no information as to how many candidates are employed in permanent and longstanding vacancies. It is of course likely that since their first appointment some of the candidates have been ousted for want of vacancies; it is not possible to say when they will be re-absorbed in the service.

(*d*) All the candidates selected for appointment in the Malabar and South Kanara districts have been appointed and placed on probation except one in the Malabar district selected in 1934. Thirty-seven candidates in Malabar and

[a] Printed as Appendix VII on pages 229-230 infra.